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ABSTRACT

Providing access to justice is a major challenge for any judicial system. Canada has gone to great lengths to meet this challenge over the past thirty years, in part by developing alternative dispute resolution methods. Unfortunately, results have been mixed. Canadian society is currently preparing to renew its vision of access to justice and the contribution of dispute resolution methods in meeting that challenge. What lessons can we learn from Canada’s experience? What are the new directions and initiatives for access to justice? Our paper suggests that the Canadian experience can make two contributions to the access to justice debate. First, we suggest that the notion is evolving in the legal community from an institutional perspective to a contextual vision of access to justice. Second, we point out an evolution of alternative or appropriate dispute resolution methods toward a participatory justice culture. Our paper suggests an evolving Canadian perspective on access to justice and dispute prevention and resolution methods.
INTRODUCTION

Access to justice has been a major issue in Canada for over thirty years. Despite the many efforts made by legislators, legal administrators and public policy makers, Canada is not ranked among the best in the world in terms of fostering access to civil justice for its citizens. Why is that? This disappointing conclusion is causing the Canadian legal community to mobilize and intensify its efforts to address the access to justice challenge. What can we do differently in the future to be more effective? Our paper addresses these two issues.

In the first part, we will explore the evolution of the Canadian vision of access to justice. We describe the institutional approach to access to justice that prevails in Canada. According to this vision, the starting point


is the judicial system. We suggest that this approach, which focuses on the constraints of the legal process and attempts to reduce them in order to improve public access to civil justice, is essential but not sufficient to respond to the challenge of access to civil justice. To better meet this challenge, we propose the complementary contextual approach, with the public’s expectations of justice as the starting point. This vision focuses on the expectations of justice and the ability of litigants to achieve them in order to enhance the sense of justice felt during and after use of the judicial system. We note that the contextual vision of access to justice is coming to the fore in Canada and its potential is promising.

In the second part, we will point out the hopes resting on dispute prevention and resolution methods for improving access to justice in Canada. We will demonstrate the influence of the institutional approach to access to justice on their development and limitations. We will then explore the influence of the contextual approach to access to justice on the creation of a participatory justice movement in Canada. We conclude that Canada’s contribution to the access to justice challenge can be viewed as the intersection between the traditional approach and a new contextual perspective that is demonstrated by the use of participatory justice practices.

1. The evolution of the access to justice vision in Canada

What is access to justice? The term is not universally defined and is viewed from several different angles. In Canada there are two different and complementary visions. Traditionally, we have addressed the challenge of access to justice from an institutional perspective: we have tried to improve public access to legal information so people will know their rights, as well as access to the courts, so they can exercise them. The goal of this method is to facilitate the legal process for parties within the dispute settlement mechanisms and thereby ensure the stability of the
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